

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/926,807 03/19/2002 Jacobus Johannes Marion Meyer 4847 22204 06/27/2002 NIXON PEABODY, LLP EXAMINER 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800 WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E MCLEAN, VA 22102 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1614
DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/926,807

Applicant(s)

Meyer et al.

Examiner

Kevin E. Weddington

Art Unit 1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
Status	paterix term support terms.	,
1)💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 28, 20	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are pending in the application.
2	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>6-11</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 💢 All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:		
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) 🛣 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4} Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🗶 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 and 7	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/926,807

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

Applicants' information disclosure statements filed March 19, 2002 and March 28, 2002 have been received and entered.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter and are not being examined on the merits.

The phrase "use of" in claims 1-5 is not an acceptable claim language term used in the U.S. Patent Office. Applicants may wish to amend claims 1-5 to recite "a method of use" or "a composition".



Application/Control Number: 09/926,807

Art Unit: 1614

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (PTO-1449).

Khan et al. teach the antibiotic action of constituents of root back of *Euclea natalensis* which contains a number of naphthoquinones. The reference teaches the naphthoquinones, such as 7-methyljuglone, diospyrin and plumbagin, applicants' preferred naphthoquinones, posses antibacterial and antifungal activity (see column 1, page 197).

Art Unit: 1614

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the preferred naphthoquinones are used to treat tuberculosis in a patient caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, a bacteria. However, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to use the well-known antibacterial naphthoquinones, such as 7-methyljuglone, diospyrin and plumbagin, to treat or control any bacterial infection caused by a bacteria in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claims 6-11 are not allowed.

The reference listed on the enclosed PTO-892 is cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner K. Weddington whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

> Primary Examiner Art Ünit 1614

K. Weddington

June 21, 2002